# THE FEFERMAN-VAUGHT THEOREM 

WILL BONEY

We give a self-contained proof of the Feferman-Vaught theorem. Our presentation follows Chang-Keisler [CK, Proposition 6.3] and the proof is due to, of course, Feferman and Vaught [FV].

Fix a signature $L$ throughout.

Definition 0.1. A formula $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ from $\mathcal{L}(L)$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$-determined by $\left\langle\sigma ; \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right\rangle$ iff
(1) $\psi_{i}$ is a formula from $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(L)$ with free variables in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$;
(2) $\sigma\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ is a formula in $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(L_{B A}\right)$ which is monotonic, i. e.,

$$
T_{B A} \vdash \forall z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}, z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{k}^{\prime}\left(\sigma\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i} z_{i} \leq z_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \sigma\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

(3) If $F$ is a filter over $I, M_{i}$ are $L$-structures for each $i \in I$, and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in$ $\prod M_{i}$, then

$$
\prod M_{i} / F \vDash \phi\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \sigma\left(X^{1} / F, \ldots, X^{k} / F\right)
$$ where $X^{j}:=\left\{i \in I: M_{i} \vDash \psi_{j}\left(f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\}$.

Theorem 0.2 (Feferman-Vaught). Every first-order formula is FO-determined.
Proof: We first define the determining formulas (by induction) and then show they work.
Work by induction on $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ :

- Atomic:
$\phi$ is determined by $\langle y=1 ; \phi\rangle$.
- Negation: $\phi$ is determined by $\left\langle\sigma\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right) ; \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right\rangle$.
$\neg \phi$ is determined by $\left\langle\neg \sigma\left(-y_{1}, \ldots,-y_{k}\right) ; \neg \psi_{1}, \ldots, \neg \psi_{k}\right\rangle$.
- Conjunction: For $\ell=1,2, \phi^{\ell}$ is determined by $\left\langle\sigma^{\ell}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k_{\ell}}\right) ; \psi_{1}^{\ell}, \ldots, \psi_{k_{\ell}}^{\ell}\right\rangle$. WLOG, we enlarge the free variables of $\phi^{1}$ and $\phi^{2}$ to be the same. $\phi^{1} \wedge \phi^{2}$ is determined by $\left\langle\sigma^{1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k_{1}}\right) \wedge \sigma^{2}\left(y_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, y_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right) ; \psi_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k_{1}}^{1}, \psi_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \psi_{k_{2}}^{2}\right\rangle$.

[^0]- Existential: $\phi\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is determined by $\left\langle\sigma ; \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right\rangle$.

Let $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{2^{k}}$ enumerate $\mathcal{P}(\{1, \ldots, k\})$ with $s_{i}=\{i\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq 2^{k}$, set

$$
\theta_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\exists x \bigwedge_{j \in s_{i}} \psi_{j}\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

with the convention that the empty conjunction is true. Set $\tau\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2^{k}}\right)$ to be
$\exists z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2^{k}}\left(\left(\bigwedge_{i} z_{i} \leq y_{i}\right) \wedge\left(\bigwedge_{s_{i} \cup s_{j}=s_{\ell}} z_{i} \cap z_{j}=z_{\ell}\right) \wedge \sigma\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)\right)$
Then $\exists x \phi\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is determined by $\left\langle\tau ; \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{2^{k}}\right\rangle$.
Now we show these sequences determine $\phi$ by induction. Property (1) is always obvious.

- Atomic: $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=R\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$

Functions are handled similarly, although we cannot necessarily code functions as relations. $y=1$ is clearly monotonic. For the other property,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod M_{i} / F \vDash R\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow X:=\left\{i \in I: M_{i} \vDash R\left(f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\} \in F \\
& \Longleftrightarrow 1-X \in F^{\text {dual }} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash X / F=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- Negation: $\phi=\neg \psi$

Suppose $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}$ are such that $\neg \sigma(-\mathbf{y})$ and $y_{i} \leq z_{i}$. Then $-y_{i} \geq-z_{i}$ and, by the monotonicity of $\sigma$, we get $\neg \sigma(-\mathbf{z})$, as desired. Also note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{j}=\left\{i \in I: M_{i} \vDash \neg \psi_{j}\left(f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\} \\
=- & \left\{i \in I: M_{i} \vDash \psi\left(f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\}=-Y^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod M_{i} / F \vDash \neg \phi\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow M_{i} / F \not \vDash \phi\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(I) / F \not \vDash \sigma\left(Y_{1} / F, \ldots, Y_{k} / F\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \neg \sigma\left(-X_{1} / F, \ldots,-X_{k} / F\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Conjunction: $\phi=\phi^{1} \wedge \phi^{2}$

The conjunction of monotonic formulas is monotonic.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod M_{i} / F \vDash \phi\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow \\
\left(\prod M_{i} / F \vDash \phi^{1}\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right)\right) \wedge\left(\prod M_{i} / F \vDash \phi^{2}\left(\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right)\right) & \Longleftrightarrow \\
\left(\mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \phi^{1}\left(X_{1}^{1} / F, \ldots, X_{1}^{k_{1}}\right) / F\right) \wedge\left(\mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \phi^{2}\left(X_{2}^{1} / F, \ldots, X_{2}^{k_{2}}\right) / F\right) & \Longleftrightarrow \\
\mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \phi^{1}\left(X_{1}^{1} / F, \ldots, X_{1}^{k_{1}} / F\right) \wedge \phi^{2}\left(X_{2}^{1} / F, \ldots, X_{2}^{k_{2}} / F\right) &
\end{aligned}
$$

- Existential: $\phi=\exists x \psi(x, y)$
$\tau$ is monotonic because $\leq$ is transitive.
First, suppose that $\prod M_{i} / F \vDash \exists x \phi\left(x,\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right)$. By induction, there is an $\left[f_{0}\right]_{F} \in \prod M_{i} / F$ such that

$$
\mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \sigma\left(X^{0} / F, \ldots, X^{k} / F\right)
$$

where $X^{j}:=\left\{i \in I: M_{i} \vDash \phi_{j}\left(f_{0}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\}$. Setting $Y^{\ell}:=\{i \in I$ : $\left.M_{i} \vDash \exists x \bigwedge_{j \in s_{\ell}} \psi_{j}\left(x, f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\}$, we see that $\bigcap_{j \in s_{\ell}} X^{j} \subset Y^{\ell}$. Thus, these intersection are the witness that $\mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \tau\left(Y^{1} / F, \ldots, Y^{2^{k}} / F\right)$. Second, suppose that $\mathcal{P}(I) / F \vDash \tau\left(Y^{1} / F, \ldots, Y^{2^{k}} / F\right)$. Then there are $Z^{1}, \ldots, Z^{2^{k}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(I) / F & \vDash Z^{i} / F \leq Y^{i} / F \\
\mathcal{P}(I) / F & \vDash Z^{i} / F \cap Z^{j} / F=Z^{\ell} / F \\
\mathcal{P}(I) / F & \vDash \sigma\left(Z^{1} / F, \ldots, Z^{k} / F\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the finite completeness of $F$, there is $X \in F$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z^{i} \cap X \subset Y^{i} \\
Z^{i} \cap Z^{j} \cap X=Z^{\ell} \cap X
\end{array}
$$

If $i \in X$, set $t^{i}:=\left\{j \leq k: i \in Z^{j}\right\}$. Then there is $m<2^{k}$ such that $s_{m}=t^{i}$. Note that $i \in Y^{m}$. Then there is $m_{i} \in M_{i}$ such that $M_{i} \vDash$ $\bigwedge_{j \in t^{i}} \psi_{j}\left(m_{i}, f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)$. If $i \notin X$, let $m_{i} \in M_{i}$ arbitrary.
Set $W^{j}:=\left\{i \in I: M_{i} \vDash \psi_{j}\left(m_{i}, f_{1}(i), \ldots, f_{n}(i)\right)\right\}$. If $i \in Z^{j} \cap X$, then $j \in t^{i}$. This gives that $i \in W^{j}$. Thus, $Z^{j} / F \subset W^{j} / F$. By monotonicity, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(I) / F & \vDash \sigma\left(Z^{1} / F, \ldots, Z^{k} / F\right) \\
\prod M_{i} / F & \vDash \psi\left(\left[i \mapsto m_{i}\right]_{F},\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right) \\
\prod M_{i} / F & \vDash \exists x \psi\left(x,\left[f_{1}\right]_{F}, \ldots,\left[f_{n}\right]_{F}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

My interest in the Feferman-Vaught Theorem came from hoping that the ZFC existence of countably complete filters (as opposed to the harder question of countably complete ultrafilters) would lead to some interesting compactness-like results in nonelementary model theory.

However, this turns out not to be the case. Indeed, as we exhibit below, the countably complete filter product of torsion groups need not be torsion. Reexamining the proof, the key property used in proving that a countably complete ultraproduct preserves disjunctions is
given any partition of a $U$-large set into countably many pieces, one of the pieces is $U$-large.
It is an easy exercise to show that this precisely characterizes countably complete ultrafilters.

Example 0.3. Let $F$ be the club filter on $\omega_{1}$ and $\left\langle G_{i}: i<\omega_{1}\right\rangle$ be torsion groups of infinite exponent. We claim that $\prod G_{i} / F$ is not torsion. First find countably many disjoint, stationary sets $\left\langle X_{n}: n<\omega\right\rangle$. Define a function $f \in \prod G_{i}$ by, if $i \in X_{n}$, picking $f(i) \in G_{i}$ to have order larger than $n$. In particular, $n \cdot f(i) \neq 0$ for all $i \in X_{n}$.

By the Feferman-Vaught Theorem, for each $n<\omega$,

$$
\prod G_{i} / F \vDash n \cdot[f]_{F}=0
$$

iff $\left\{i<\omega_{1}: G_{i} \vDash n \cdot f(i)=0\right\} \in F$. Since that set is disjoint from a stationary set, it contains no club. Thus, $\Pi G_{i} / F$ is not torsion.

This example was particularly easy because the type consisted of atomic formulas.
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